

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,132	STARHEIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	

All Participants:

(1) Frederick L. Lagman.

(2) Bruce Ross.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential 112(2) rejection with respect to claim 17 because subparagraph b seemed to be confusing

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

claim 17 was amended to better define the joining hooks and its connection to the panel member

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)